

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,622	06/25/2003	Yutaka Oka	FSF-031381 2391		
37398 TAIYO CORP	7590 02/09/2007 ORATION		EXAMINER		
401 HOLLAND LANE			CHEA, THORL		
#407 ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•	•	1752		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

during the second secon				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action		10/602,622	OKA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an App	eal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Thorl Chea	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence ac	dress
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2007 FAILS				
1.      The reply was filed after a final rejection this application, applicant must timely places the application in condition for a Request for Continued Examination time periods:	on, but prior to or file one of the fol allowance; (2) a I (RCE) in complia	on the same day as filing a lowing replies: (1) an amend Notice of Appeal (with appea ance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	Notice of Appeal. To avoid ald dment, affidavit, or other evide al.fee) in compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 6 months	_		to not forth in the final rejection	ubishaveria later. Is
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the no event, however, will the statutory p</li> <li>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, cl</li> </ul>	eriod for reply expir	e later than SIX MONTHS from	the mailing date of the final reject	ction.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJE	CTION. See MPER	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CF have been filed is the date for purposes of determ under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receimay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. NOTICE OF APPEAL	nining the period of expiration date of the ved by the Office la	extension and the correspondir te shortened statutory period fo ter than three months after the	ng amount of the fee. The appro r reply originally set in the final O	priate extension fee Office action; or (2) a
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on</li></ol>	.37(a)), or any ex	tension thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed aft	or a final raination	n but prior to the data of fili	na a briaf will not be entered	hocauso
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that woul (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new mat	d require further	consideration and/or search		because
(c) They are not deemed to place the appeal; and/or	•	•	terially reducing or simplifyin	g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims v	_		finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Shee</u>				
4. The amendments are not in complian			of Non-Compliant Amendmer	it (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the form.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	Ŧ ·	· · · ———	separate, timely filed amendr	nent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed how the new or amended claims would be a second control of the control of th				n explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be			·	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .	•			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10 and 13-20.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	n:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed af	tor a final action	hut hafara or an the date of	filing a Nation of Annual will	not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a swas not earlier presented. See 37 CF	showing of good a			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed af entered because the affidavit or other showing a good and sufficient reasons.	evidence failed to s why it is necess	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections un ary and was not earlier pres	der appeal and/or appellant tented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d	fails to provide a )(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is enterequest FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTH	-	tion of the status of the clair	ns after entry is below or atta	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has See Continuation Sheet.	been considered	but does NOT place the app	olication in condition for allow	ance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclo	sure Statement(s	). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	)	
13.  Other: See Continuation Sheet.			<b>~</b> , t	

Thorl Chea **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 1752



Continuation of 3. NOTE: the incorporation of the language "wherein the first metal of the metal pair is distributed in a core and the second metal of the metal pair is distributed in a shell" raises the issue under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph since it is unclear as what considered as "a core" and "a shell" in absence of providing the silver halide having core and shell structure. It is unclear whether the photosensitive silver halide that has silver content range from 10 mole % to 100 mole % has core portion and shell portion or otherwise. If the claims are realted to silver halide that contains silver iodide ranging from 10 mole % to 100 mole %, the language such as "silver halide grain having core portion and shell portion, and has silver iodide content ranging from 10 mol % to 100 mole %" should incorporated therein.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reason set forth in the Final Office Action on July 17, 2006.

Continuation of 13. Other: 1. The information disclosure statement filed January 30, 2007 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the statement presented therein is incorrect. See the box I which states "This Statement is being filed under § 1.97 (b) within three months of the filing date of the application (other than a CPA), or before the mailing of a first Office action on the merits or before the mailing of a first Office action after the filing of a request for continued examination". However, this IDS was filed after the Final office action issued on July 17, 2007. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).